WEEK 3 PLOT POINTS: SUCCESS RATE/POINTS SCORED



Average Winning Score/Success Rate 49%/39 Points

Average Losing Score/Success Rate 35%/15 Points

Net Success Rate averages didn't move. Teams who hold opposing teams to less than 40% success rate are 173-55 on the season.

Teams who have a 50% success rate or better are 101 -10 on the season.

Pac 12 Offenses are elite. Can experience to new QB teams catch up?

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA

Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)

A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.

A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)

A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.

A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)
A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.
A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)
A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.
A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)
A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.
A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

@DawgStats

OFFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Offensive Efficiency is measure by a few core metrics. Success Rate and EPA

Sucess Rate are percentage of plays which gain

- 50% of yards to gain on 1st
- 70% on 2nd
- 100% on 3rd and 4th

EPA values a play's result based on the down, distance, and field position.

A team with a higher success rate offense will have more plays, more first downs, and more drives.

A team with a higher EPA will have more explosive plays, a higher per play yardage, and more scoring opportunities.

DEFENSIVE SUCCESS RATE + EPA



Defensive Efficiency uses same metrics: Success Rate and EPA. But, they are calculated on what the defense ALLOWS to the opposing offense (lower is better for defense)

A team with a lower success rate offense will allow fewer plays, fewer first downs, and fewwr drives.

A team with a lower EPA will allow fewer explosive plays, a lower per play yardage, and fewer scoring opportunities.

STATS AND AVERAGES THROUGH WEEK 3

	YARDS PER PLAY			RUN/PASS SPLITS				POINTS PER GAME	
				OFFENSE		DEFENSE			
	OFF	DEF	NET	RUSH	PASS	RUSH	PASS	OFF	DEF
WASHINGTON	9.46	4.69	4.77	4.92	12.2	3.78	5.5	46.7	12
UCLA	8.59	3.87	4.72	7.44	10.3	2.01	5.6	40.3	10
NOTRE DAME	8.27	3.82	4.45	5.88	11.4	2.91	5.2	46	11.8
OHIO STATE	7.61	3.63	3.98	5.16	9.9	2.53	4.9	40.3	6.7
USC	9.32	5.42	3.9	6.77	11.3	4.3	6.6	59.3	17.3
OLE MISS	8.19	4.4	3.79	5.06	11.9	2.88	6.2	52.7	16.7
MIAMI	8.29	4.57	3.72	5.91	10.9	2.15	6.7	44.7	14.3
OREGON	8.2	4.51	3.69	6.83	9.3	4.33	4.7	58	15.7
UCF	7.95	4.55	3.4	6.37	10.4	3.34	6.1	40.7	12
OREGON STATE	7.44	4.13	3.31	6.32	8.8	2.04	5.8	41	11
MICHIGAN	7.22	3.95	3.27	4.95	10.2	2.61	5.6	32	5.3
KENTUCKY	7.58	4.38	3.2	5.63	8.9	2.29	6.3	35.7	11.3
OKLAHOMA	7.32	4.27	3.05	4.26	11.3	2.29	6.3	55.7	9.3
SYRACUSE	6.87	3.96	2.91	5.23	9	2.41	5.3	49.3	9
ARIZONA	7.69	4.83	2.86	6.2	8.9	2.57	7.8	31	14.7
DUKE	7.24	4.42	2.82	6.33	8.6	4.3	4.5	36	9.3
GEORGIA	6.68	4.26	2.42	4.38	8.9	3.54	4.7	39	8
CLEMSON	6.22	3.85	2.37	5.65	6.8	3.06	4.6	40.3	19.7
LOUISVILLE	7.74	5.46	2.28	6.45	9.4	3.37	7.8	38.7	16
KANSAS	7.29	5.04	2.25	5.33	10.1	3.95	6.5	37.7	21.3
AUBURN	6.33	4.17	2.16	5.18	8.2	3.44	4.9	39.3	12.3
TENNESSEE	6.27	4.32	1.95	5.39	7.3	3.05	6	31.7	18.3
MARYLAND	6.86	5.02	1.84	5.23	8.4	3.71	6.4	39.3	13.3
MISSOURI	6.07	4.46	1.61	3.48	9.7	2.49	6.4	29.3	18.7
KANSAS STATE	6.48	4.89	1.59	4.54	8.6	1.83	7.1	38	14.3
PENN STATE	6.02	4.43	1.59	4.63	7.9	3.12	5.8	43.7	11.7
TCU	6.53	5.02	1.51	5.13	8.1	1.82	7.7	39.7	21.3
LSU	7.26	5.77	1.49	5.07	9.6	4.67	6.8	45.7	23
TEXAS	6.08	4.63	1.45	4.19	8.2	2.95	6.7	34	14.7
WASHINGTON STATE	7.01	5.62	1.39	3.8	9.9	3.56	7.1	48.3	22.3
NORTH CAROLINA	6.65	5.27	1.38	4.89	8.7	3.65	6.8	34	21.3
ALABAMA	5.77	4.4	1.37	4.4	7.9	3.25	5.6	32.3	14.7
FLORIDA STATE	7.19	5.84	1.35	5.42	9.3	3.84	7.7	47.3	22
RUTGERS	5.3	3.99	1.31	4.86	6.2	2.46	5.1	31.7	10
CINCINNATI	6.82	5.52	1.3	5.02	9.8	3.66	7.7	39	21.7

	YARDS PER PLAY			RUN/PASS SPLITS				POINTS PER GAME	
				OFFENSE		DEFENSE			
	OFF	DEF	NET	RUSH	PASS	RUSH	PASS	OFF	DEF
CINCINNATI	6.82	5.52	1.3	5.02	9.8	3.66	7.7	39	21.7
WISCONSIN	6.33	5.04	1.29	5.71	7	2.96	6.6	31.7	20.7
ARKANSAS	5.58	4.29	1.29	3.78	8.3	1.72	7.3	38.3	19
NEBRASKA	5.44	4.19	1.25	5.14	6	1.74	5.8	19.7	20
FLORIDA	6.09	4.9	1.19	4.55	8	2.92	7.3	29.7	15.7
TEXAS TECH	5.87	4.87	1	4.69	6.9	3.86	5.8	34.7	25.3
TEXAS A&M	6.43	5.52	0.91	4.27	8	3.39	8	44	20.3
IOWA STATE	4.86	3.96	0.9	3.2	6.4	3.2	4.8	16.7	13
CALIFORNIA	5.5	4.68	0.82	5.25	5.8	3.13	6.3	33	17.3
WAKE FOREST	5.92	5.1	0.82	3.83	8.6	3.15	6.8	33.3	20.3
PITTSBURGH	4.97	4.24	0.73	3.91	6.4	3.27	6.2	24	17
IOWA	4.9	4.27	0.63	4.2	5.9	3.52	4.9	28.3	12.3
BAYLOR	6.03	5.41	0.62	4.58	7.6	4.43	7	24.7	23
VANDERBILT	6.16	5.6	0.56	3.63	8.4	4.04	7.3	34.8	29.3
UTAH	5.38	4.95	0.43	4.34	7.3	2.83	6.5	25	10.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	6	5.64	0.36	1.85	9	3.83	7.7	26	25.3
WEST VIRGINIA	5.42	5.1	0.32	4.29	7.7	2.82	7.9	29.3	20.3
INDIANA	5.51	5.2	0.31	3.36	7.9	3.91	7.1	19.3	17
COLORADO	6.25	6.03	0.22	1.99	9.1	5.01	7.1	41.3	30.3
BYU	5.21	5	0.21	2.67	7.7	3.32	6.5	31	15.7
MICHIGAN STATE	6.05	5.86	0.19	3.56	8.7	3.64	8.4	27.7	20.7
MISSISSIPPI STATE	5.94	5.79	0.15	5.42	6.6	3.74	7.4	31	24
ARIZONA STATE	4.57	4.51	0.06	3.02	6.1	2.8	6.2	13	25.7
BOSTON COLLEGE	5.65	5.69	-0.04	4.44	7.1	4.64	7.6	28	28.7
ILLINOIS	5.63	5.84	-0.21	4.01	7.1	4.86	7	22	30.7
PURDUE	5.47	5.69	-0.22	3.41	7.5	3.86	7.3	26.3	30.3
GEORGIA TECH	6.81	7.23	-0.42	5.17	8.6	6.39	8.6	35	33.3
VIRGINIA TECH	4.72	5.17	-0.45	2.39	7.1	5.17	5.2	23	25.3
NC STATE	5.31	5.79	-0.48	4.36	6.4	4.11	7.7	31	22
MINNESOTA	4.81	5.52	-0.71	4.65	5	3.82	7.6	17	15.7
OKLAHOMA STATE	4.68	5.57	-0.89	3.91	5.3	4.37	7.2	20.3	20.3
NORTHWESTERN	4.47	5.51	-1.04	3.3	5.5	4.15	7.6	19.7	23
STANFORD	5.65	6.79	-1.14	4.68	7	4.3	8.4	23.3	36.7
HOUSTON	4.85	6.25	-1.4	3.16	6.4	4.5	8	23.7	31
VIRGINIA	4.9	6.39	-1.49	1.94	8.4	4.9	8.2	20.7	42.3

OFFENSIVE METRICS

GOOD

	TOTAL OFFENSE				RUSHING OFFENSE					PASSING OFFENSE					SCORING OPPORTUNITIES			
	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA				POINTS	
	RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK	TOTAL	RANK	PER	RANK
WASHINGTON	58.0%	1	0.511	6	37.8%	53.4%	23	0.261	41	60.6%	62.4%	2	0.790	5	27	3	4.9	29
USC	57.5%	2	0.629	1	42.5%	55.7%	14	0.490	2	57.5%	58.9%	4	0.733	9	17	70	5.1	19
OKLAHOMA	57.4%	3	0.554	2	56.3%	47.5%	45	0.187	69	43.8%	70.1%	1	1.025	1	23	18	5.9	3
LOUISVILLE	57.0%	4	0.460	9	56.3%	63.9%	1	0.359	14	41.4%	50.9%	27	0.766	7	12	116	4.7	40
OREGON	57.0%	5	0.547	3	44.4%	58.7%	7	0.521	1	55.6%	55.7%	10	0.568	21	23	18	5.7	5
KANSAS	56.8%	6	0.450	11	57.3%	53.4%	24	0.300	28	42.2%	62.1%	3	0.699	10	24	9	4.4	46
OREGON STATE	55.6%	7	0.435	12	54.0%	62.4%	2	0.381	10	44.9%	48.8%	40	0.519	26	19	53	5.4	9
CLEMSON	55.4%	8	0.306	43	47.2%	58.7%	6	0.352	18	52.8%	52.5%	22	0.265	79	24	9	3.9	72
AUBURN	55.0%	9	0.319	39	59.4%	60.0%	5	0.328	22	39.1%	49.4%	37	0.401	50	18	62	5.4	10
NOTRE DAME	54.3%	11	0.529	4	54.7%	55.5%	15	0.311	23	45.3%	52.8%	19	0.793	4	24	9	5.9	2
ARIZONA	54.2%	12	0.354	26	40.8%	56.2%	11	0.275	38	56.4%	55.4%	11	0.540	23	21	29	4.1	60
UCF	54.0%	13	0.366	22	59.2%	56.0%	12	0.358	16	38.9%	53.7%	15	0.604	15	26	5	4.1	62
LSU	53.4%	17	0.424	15	48.9%	57.4%	9	0.377	11	51.1%	49.6%	32	0.468	31	22	23	5.4	12
GEORGIA TECH	53.1%	18	0.510	7	48.4%	54.8%	17	0.474	3	50.0%	53.1%	18	0.585	18	10	128	4.1	58
MIAMI	53.0%	19	0.525	5	50.3%	50.0%	30	0.299	29	49.2%	56.7%	8	0.768	6	22	23	5.1	18
DUKE	53.0%	20	0.399	16	58.9%	52.3%	26	0.362	13	41.1%	53.9%	14	0.452	33	20	42	5.0	22
UCLA	51.6%	22	0.430	13	57.1%	53.8%	20	0.431	4	42.3%	49.4%	38	0.446	38	20	42	4.0	69
WASHINGTON STATE	51.4%	23	0.388	20	42.8%	47.4%	46	0.228	50	56.8%	54.8%	12	0.549	22	26	5	4.8	31
GEORGIA	51.2%	24	0.326	37	47.4%	48.5%	39	0.230	47	52.6%	53.6%	16	0.413	48	21	29	4.6	42
TCU	50.8%	25	0.285	46	49.7%	54.3%	19	0.335	21	48.1%	49.5%	34	0.426	46	29	1	4.0	65
OLE MISS	50.7%	26	0.505	8	46.3%	45.2%	64	0.227	51	53.0%	56.3%	9	0.759	8	19	53	5.1	21
NC STATE	49.8%	28	0.239	60	50.7%	55.9%	13	0.197	66	49.3%	43.5%	66	0.282	75	16	83	4.9	25
MARYLAND	49.8%	29	0.329	35	47.4%	51.5%	28	0.409	7	52.2%	48.6%	41	0.300	70	21	29	4.9	28
MICHIGAN	49.7%	30	0.328	36	55.8%	45.7%	61	0.141	84	41.8%	58.0%	6	0.826	3	21	29	4.0	68
FLORIDA	49.5%	31	0.312	41	52.9%	50.0%	30	0.271	40	47.1%	49.0%	39	0.359	55	16	83	4.8	33
OHIO STATE	49.5%	32	0.425	14	48.4%	47.3%	48	0.306	26	50.5%	52.6%	21	0.598	17	17	70	5.5	7
PENN STATE	49.4%	33	0.260	52	59.0%	49.0%	34	0.257	43	41.0%	50.0%	29	0.265	80	16	83	5.4	11
NORTH CAROLINA	48.6%	34	0.337	32	49.5%	48.6%	37	0.220	53	50.5%	48.6%	42	0.452	35	21	29	3.2	105
MISSOURI	47.9%	37	0.224	63	54.7%	47.1%	49	0.050	104	44.7%	49.4%	36	0.465	32	16	83	4.7	39
TEXAS A&M	47.7%	39	0.372	21	40.8%	48.3%	40	0.211	56	59.2%	47.3%	46	0.484	30	27	3	4.9	26
KANSAS STATE	47.7%	41	0.264	50	50.9%	46.8%	52	0.147	79	47.7%	50.0%	29	0.445	39	22	23	5.2	16
ILLINOIS	47.5%	42	0.194	71	43.1%	53.8%	20	0.274	39	53.6%	45.4%	54	0.304	68	12	116	4.9	27
TENNESSEE	47.2%	44	0.291	44	51.9%	54.5%	18	0.310	24	48.1%	39.2%	93	0.269	77	20	42	4.5	44
WISCONSIN	47.1%	45	0.214	65	49.0%	52.5%	25	0.276	37	50.0%	42.7%	72	0.175	103	17	70	5.2	14
CINCINNATI	47.0%	47	0.351	28	60.0%	44.2%	72	0.190	67	38.7%	52.8%	20	0.667	12	20	42	4.8	33

BAD

OFFENSIVE METRICS

GOOD

	TOTAL OFFENSE				RUSHING OFFENSE					PASSING OFFENSE					SCORING OPPORTUNITIES			
	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA				POINTS	
	RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK	TOTAL	RANK	PER	RANK
CINCINNATI	47.0%	47	0.351	28	60.0%	44.2%	72	0.190	67	38.7%	52.8%	20	0.667	12	20	42	4.8	33
ARKANSAS	46.5%	48	0.354	27	57.4%	49.1%	33	0.260	42	42.1%	43.5%	65	0.486	29	16	83	5.0	22
COLORADO	46.2%	49	0.284	47	32.9%	36.5%	117	-0.052	122	67.1%	51.0%	26	0.449	36	23	18	3.8	76
FLORIDA STATE	45.8%	52	0.396	18	53.2%	42.6%	82	0.233	46	46.8%	49.4%	35	0.582	19	21	29	5.3	13
SYRACUSE	45.6%	53	0.333	34	54.4%	45.5%	63	0.228	49	45.1%	46.3%	49	0.497	27	24	9	4.8	33
NORTHWESTERN	45.3%	55	0.097	111	43.2%	53.7%	22	0.147	80	55.3%	40.0%	87	0.087	120	11	123	4.7	36
WEST VIRGINIA	45.2%	56	0.273	49	66.7%	46.7%	55	0.305	27	33.3%	42.2%	75	0.210	94	16	83	3.7	86
TEXAS TECH	44.5%	57	0.179	76	41.8%	47.8%	43	0.279	35	56.4%	43.5%	64	0.198	98	21	29	4.0	63
WAKE FOREST	44.3%	58	0.104	110	52.1%	44.7%	67	-0.066	125	47.9%	43.8%	61	0.290	74	24	9	3.3	99
ALABAMA	43.5%	59	0.248	59	55.0%	48.6%	37	0.283	33	45.0%	37.2%	103	0.206	95	14	102	5.1	17
VANDERBILT	43.3%	60	0.131	98	44.4%	44.8%	66	-0.093	130	54.0%	43.3%	69	0.375	53	24	9	4.6	41
STANFORD	43.2%	61	0.087	115	53.8%	43.7%	73	0.095	93	45.5%	43.3%	68	0.189	99	11	123	4.3	50
KENTUCKY	42.7%	65	0.340	30	38.9%	44.3%	71	0.310	25	59.2%	43.0%	70	0.427	45	19	53	4.2	55
PURDUE	42.6%	66	0.192	73	50.2%	40.0%	98	0.041	109	49.8%	45.2%	56	0.345	60	20	42	3.3	101
NEBRASKA	42.5%	68	0.177	77	61.9%	47.3%	47	0.211	55	35.9%	36.9%	105	0.268	78	11	123	4.7	36
CALIFORNIA	41.7%	78	0.236	61	55.7%	46.9%	51	0.336	20	43.0%	36.4%	107	0.169	106	24	9	3.9	73
RUTGERS	41.7%	79	0.219	64	65.6%	44.4%	69	0.222	52	34.4%	36.4%	107	0.215	93	17	70	5.2	14
MICHIGAN STATE	41.5%	81	0.140	91	49.7%	40.7%	90	-0.074	127	49.7%	42.9%	71	0.365	54	16	83	4.3	49
SOUTH CAROLINA	41.4%	82	0.256	56	35.4%	38.6%	107	-0.036	120	63.6%	43.7%	63	0.429	44	20	42	3.3	101
UTAH	41.1%	85	0.155	84	62.9%	42.7%	79	0.145	81	37.1%	38.4%	99	0.173	104	16	83	3.8	77
OKLAHOMA STATE	41.0%	86	0.112	106	40.0%	48.8%	36	0.160	75	59.0%	36.4%	107	0.103	116	13	110	4.7	38
MINNESOTA	40.7%	88	0.030	127	52.8%	47.6%	44	0.205	59	45.7%	34.1%	125	-0.058	129	17	70	2.7	121
BAYLOR	40.5%	90	0.256	55	50.7%	42.3%	83	0.228	48	48.8%	39.0%	95	0.338	63	17	70	3.8	83
BOSTON COLLEGE	40.5%	90	0.209	66	54.1%	45.0%	65	0.279	36	45.4%	35.5%	117	0.134	113	15	94	3.7	87
INDIANA	40.2%	93	0.132	97	50.5%	32.7%	126	-0.063	124	49.0%	48.4%	43	0.356	56	15	94	3.4	98
TEXAS	39.5%	97	0.205	70	49.4%	40.0%	98	0.117	90	50.6%	39.1%	94	0.291	73	20	42	3.6	92
MISSISSIPPI STATE	39.2%	101	0.193	72	53.8%	43.5%	74	0.233	45	46.2%	34.2%	124	0.147	110	17	70	4.2	52
PITTSBURGH	39.2%	102	0.137	93	53.4%	45.5%	62	0.154	76	46.6%	31.8%	126	0.117	115	18	62	4.0	66
VIRGINIA TECH	38.4%	109	0.078	118	47.3%	35.4%	119	-0.134	132	51.2%	42.3%	74	0.298	72	18	62	3.8	81
IOWA	37.6%	111	0.081	117	55.8%	39.6%	104	0.042	108	42.5%	36.4%	107	0.221	88	22	23	3.4	97
HOUSTON	37.5%	113	-0.010	131	39.4%	40.0%	98	-0.002	116	58.8%	37.0%	104	0.044	125	21	29	2.4	126
ARIZONA STATE	36.0%	121	0.058	122	46.0%	40.2%	94	0.060	101	50.8%	34.4%	123	0.187	100	12	116	2.6	125
IOWA STATE	35.8%	122	0.068	120	47.9%	31.6%	129	-0.089	129	50.9%	40.5%	84	0.237	84	14	102	3.1	110
BYU	33.9%	129	0.153	85	47.7%	27.7%	133	0.007	114	51.7%	40.0%	87	0.314	66	16	83	5.0	22
VIRGINIA	32.1%	131	0.137	92	48.4%	29.3%	132	0.031	111	49.5%	36.2%	112	0.352	57	14	102	3.4	95

BAD

DEFENSIVE METRICS

GOOD

	TOTAL DEFENSE				RUSHING DEFENSE					PASSING DEFENSE					SCORING OPPORTUNITIES			
	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA				POINTS	
	RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK	TOTAL	RANK	PER	RANK
PENN STATE	27.1%	1	-0.074	6	40.2%	27.9%	8	0.059	59	0.570	27.9%	6	-0.087	5	6	3	2.3	13
WEST VIRGINIA	27.4%	2	-0.069	7	52.2%	30.5%	17	-0.243	1	0.460	25.0%	3	0.205	55	7	4	3.3	59
FLORIDA	29.5%	5	0.063	41	51.9%	25.9%	3	-0.073	19	0.474	33.8%	24	0.236	72	11	16	3.7	82
SYRACUSE	29.5%	5	-0.105	4	44.9%	27.1%	5	-0.034	31	0.545	31.8%	19	-0.162	2	12	28	2.3	13
TENNESSEE	30.2%	7	0.072	45	51.7%	29.2%	13	0.030	47	0.478	31.6%	18	0.114	39	14	52	3.1	46
UTAH	30.5%	9	0.062	40	37.8%	32.3%	28	0.042	52	0.616	29.7%	8	0.072	27	12	28	2.5	24
GEORGIA	31.2%	10	-0.036	13	37.6%	31.4%	22	-0.042	27	0.613	31.6%	17	-0.025	12	9	8	2.7	27
NOTRE DAME	31.7%	11	-0.002	19	58.3%	35.7%	43	0.053	55	0.413	26.3%	4	-0.080	6	16	70	2.9	41
AUBURN	32.4%	13	-0.038	12	45.7%	37.2%	54	0.100	76	0.521	29.6%	7	-0.123	3	13	40	2.4	17
ALABAMA	32.5%	14	0.064	42	49.3%	35.0%	39	0.062	63	0.502	30.5%	12	0.087	31	12	28	3.1	49
OKLAHOMA	32.6%	15	-0.016	15	49.4%	28.2%	9	-0.195	6	0.500	37.2%	51	0.204	53	11	16	2.5	20
ARKANSAS	32.6%	16	-0.060	8	47.2%	27.4%	6	-0.043	26	0.511	38.5%	58	-0.071	7	16	70	2.7	28
KANSAS STATE	32.8%	17	0.049	37	37.0%	19.7%	1	-0.209	3	0.625	40.8%	76	0.213	62	13	40	2.8	33
OHIO STATE	33.2%	18	-0.004	18	51.1%	27.7%	7	-0.036	30	0.473	40.2%	68	0.109	38	9	8	2.2	10
OREGON	33.2%	19	-0.024	14	43.2%	36.6%	49	0.118	81	0.553	31.4%	16	-0.057	8	14	52	3.4	68
UCF	33.3%	20	0.016	23	50.8%	35.6%	41	-0.032	32	0.475	32.1%	20	0.146	42	9	8	3.3	60
MICHIGAN	33.3%	20	-0.042	11	50.0%	31.0%	19	-0.200	5	0.482	37.0%	47	0.155	45	11	16	1.5	2
PITTSBURGH	33.3%	20	0.073	46	61.0%	33.0%	32	0.055	56	0.384	34.4%	30	0.098	35	13	40	3.4	63
CLEMSON	33.5%	23	0.025	26	47.3%	31.5%	23	0.061	61	0.511	36.5%	42	0.003	15	13	40	3.4	63
ARIZONA	33.5%	24	-0.047	10	53.4%	31.9%	26	-0.238	2	0.460	35.8%	36	0.304	94	15	62	2.5	22
TEXAS A&M	33.8%	25	0.196	90	51.3%	30.5%	14	-0.043	25	0.488	37.2%	50	0.447	121	13	40	3.1	47
TEXAS	33.8%	25	0.119	67	51.3%	30.5%	14	-0.071	20	0.469	38.7%	59	0.352	102	9	8	2.9	39
NEBRASKA	33.8%	28	0.036	31	32.3%	26.6%	4	0.008	43	0.667	37.9%	53	0.082	29	19	100	3.2	54
CINCINNATI	34.1%	31	0.171	83	48.2%	35.4%	40	0.105	79	0.500	34.1%	27	0.292	88	16	70	3.2	56
MIAMI	34.3%	32	0.058	39	42.3%	31.1%	20	-0.086	18	0.577	36.6%	44	0.164	46	13	40	3.4	63
OLE MISS	34.5%	33	-0.112	3	50.7%	33.3%	33	-0.150	9	0.473	37.1%	49	0.048	19	8	5	1.6	4
BYU	34.6%	34	0.106	61	43.6%	30.5%	14	0.022	44	0.537	39.6%	61	0.250	76	11	16	3.1	51
MISSOURI	34.7%	35	0.089	54	45.2%	28.9%	10	-0.088	17	0.543	39.8%	64	0.240	74	12	28	4.5	110
IOWA STATE	34.9%	36	-0.056	9	50.5%	36.1%	46	-0.063	21	0.484	34.4%	29	-0.039	9	14	52	2.4	16
IOWA	35.2%	38	0.022	25	43.2%	39.5%	69	-0.026	33	0.563	32.1%	20	0.062	25	12	28	2.4	18
LOUISVILLE	35.4%	40	-0.005	17	49.6%	35.7%	43	-0.206	4	0.487	36.4%	41	0.233	71	5	2	2.8	34
USC	35.7%	41	0.011	22	47.7%	37.9%	61	0.037	50	0.518	34.0%	26	0.052	20	19	100	-0.2	1
FLORIDA STATE	35.8%	42	0.128	69	43.8%	37.5%	56	0.154	89	0.562	34.5%	31	0.107	37	14	52	3.6	75
WASHINGTON	35.9%	43	0.017	24	42.7%	43.2%	86	0.071	66	0.563	31.0%	14	0.016	17	15	62	2.3	12
WAKE FOREST	36.0%	45	0.052	38	39.2%	37.8%	60	-0.017	34	0.587	36.0%	37	0.153	44	11	16	2.5	20

BAD

DEFENSIVE METRICS

GOOD

	TOTAL DEFENSE				RUSHING DEFENSE					PASSING DEFENSE					SCORING OPPORTUNITIES			
	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA		PLAYS	SUCCESS		EPA				POINTS	
	RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK		RATE	RANK	RATE	RANK	TOTAL	RANK	PER	RANK
WAKE FOREST	36.0%	45	0.052	38	39.2%	37.8%	60	-0.017	34	0.587	36.0%	37	0.153	44	11	16	2.5	20
LSU	36.2%	46	0.232	109	43.7%	36.8%	51	0.249	115	0.552	36.5%	42	0.251	77	14	52	4.4	109
KENTUCKY	36.5%	49	0.010	21	44.8%	32.1%	27	-0.105	14	0.547	40.4%	71	0.145	41	16	70	1.7	5
OREGON STATE	37.2%	53	-0.091	5	37.8%	39.4%	67	0.028	45	0.606	36.8%	45	-0.034	10	14	52	2.4	19
NORTH CAROLINA	37.7%	57	0.085	52	44.0%	40.7%	73	0.163	93	0.556	35.7%	35	0.054	23	23	123	2.5	23
WASHINGTON STATE	37.9%	59	0.091	56	36.8%	34.3%	36	-0.100	15	0.626	40.4%	70	0.236	73	11	16	4.9	127
ARIZONA STATE	38.1%	60	0.092	57	45.5%	34.9%	38	0.062	62	0.545	40.8%	75	0.117	40	17	80	3.7	79
PURDUE	38.4%	61	0.162	78	41.2%	36.8%	50	0.000	39	0.569	40.8%	76	0.318	96	17	80	4.9	126
CALIFORNIA	38.5%	62	0.091	55	48.3%	36.9%	52	0.045	53	0.489	42.4%	90	0.211	59	10	12	4.5	110
UCLA	38.7%	63	0.029	28	43.4%	38.0%	63	-0.054	24	0.547	40.5%	73	0.176	47	13	40	2.1	8
MARYLAND	38.9%	66	0.079	49	47.0%	43.7%	91	0.183	99	0.508	36.2%	40	0.097	34	12	28	2.3	11
GEORGIA TECH	39.0%	67	0.119	68	67.8%	37.5%	56	0.056	57	0.305	44.4%	106	0.275	80	4	1	3.5	71
NC STATE	39.0%	68	0.175	85	47.8%	40.8%	75	0.174	97	0.509	38.3%	54	0.212	61	11	16	4.7	123
TCU	39.2%	69	0.083	50	35.1%	31.7%	24	-0.123	12	0.626	44.9%	107	0.299	92	17	80	2.8	32
OKLAHOMA STATE	39.3%	72	0.257	114	52.5%	40.6%	72	0.186	100	0.470	38.4%	56	0.356	107	13	40	4.2	104
TEXAS TECH	40.0%	77	0.137	73	44.4%	37.0%	53	0.102	77	0.542	43.4%	97	0.206	56	15	62	3.1	45
VANDERBILT	40.3%	81	0.216	99	49.6%	40.6%	71	0.195	102	0.489	41.2%	79	0.293	89	27	132	2.6	26
VIRGINIA TECH	40.6%	82	0.197	91	56.8%	48.6%	118	0.318	126	0.422	30.9%	13	0.084	30	16	70	4.3	106
RUTGERS	40.9%	86	0.046	34	37.4%	44.6%	96	0.033	49	0.601	40.3%	69	0.102	36	11	16	2.8	37
BAYLOR	41.0%	88	0.223	103	57.9%	42.7%	84	0.280	120	0.410	39.7%	63	0.215	64	13	40	4.8	124
WISCONSIN	41.1%	89	0.071	44	37.9%	42.0%	81	0.048	54	0.598	42.2%	88	0.204	54	20	108	2.8	29
MINNESOTA	41.4%	91	0.112	64	49.4%	44.2%	93	0.135	84	0.483	40.5%	72	0.203	52	10	12	4.0	91
MICHIGAN STATE	41.5%	92	0.098	60	48.4%	39.6%	70	-0.014	35	0.505	44.2%	105	0.295	91	19	100	2.9	40
KANSAS	42.2%	93	0.218	101	53.4%	41.9%	80	0.306	123	0.441	45.1%	109	0.225	68	10	12	6.2	133
NORTHWESTERN	43.7%	98	0.203	93	57.4%	42.5%	83	0.106	80	0.411	46.9%	116	0.375	112	15	62	4.6	118
DUKE	43.8%	101	0.048	36	47.8%	47.9%	116	0.163	95	0.507	41.2%	79	-0.027	11	12	28	2.3	13
COLORADO	44.3%	104	0.214	95	49.3%	43.1%	85	0.162	92	0.493	46.8%	114	0.348	99	18	94	3.5	71
INDIANA	44.8%	106	0.140	74	55.2%	47.3%	114	0.072	68	0.430	43.7%	101	0.354	105	12	28	3.1	49
MISSISSIPPI STATE	45.2%	107	0.214	97	42.2%	44.0%	92	0.104	78	0.553	48.2%	123	0.432	119	13	40	5.5	131
BOSTON COLLEGE	45.3%	108	0.230	108	62.4%	46.0%	108	0.129	83	0.376	44.1%	104	0.398	115	15	62	3.9	88
ILLINOIS	45.5%	110	0.223	102	55.0%	47.2%	113	0.229	110	0.446	43.7%	103	0.253	78	23	123	4.1	96
HOUSTON	47.1%	122	0.272	118	47.1%	49.1%	120	0.241	113	0.508	47.1%	118	0.420	118	20	108	4.0	90
STANFORD	47.4%	123	0.358	126	29.3%	46.2%	110	0.233	112	0.707	47.9%	121	0.410	116	11	16	6.0	132
SOUTH CAROLINA	47.8%	125	0.217	100	49.3%	44.7%	98	0.070	65	0.502	51.4%	130	0.377	113	24	128	2.9	38
VIRGINIA	50.7%	131	0.393	129	54.0%	53.5%	128	0.340	128	0.460	47.4%	119	0.455	125	21	115	5.1	129

BAD

@DawgStats

WISCONSIN AT PURDUE

GOOD

BAD

Home Purdue	Off v Def	Away Wisconsin
26.3	PPG	20.7
43.4%	Success Rate	41.3%
7.3%	Explosive Play Rate	6.6%
5.6	YPP	5.0
0.212	EPA	0.076
	Rushing Game Metrics	
41.2%	Success Rate	42.5%
3.4%	Explosive Rate	2.3%
4.1	YPP	4.1
0.069	EPA	0.060
	Passing Game Metrics	
45.6%	Success Rate	40.6%
3.9%	Explosive Rate	4.2%
7.0	YPP	5.6
0.354	EPA	0.085



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Wisconsin	Off v Def	Home Purdue
31.7	PPG	30.3
47.5%	Success Rate	38.8%
10.0%	Explosive Play Rate	13.9%
6.5	YPP	5.4
0.254	EPA	0.173
	Rushing Game Metrics	
54.2%	Success Rate	37.6%
6.0%	Explosive Rate	6.2%
6.4	YPP	4.2
0.373	EPA	0.024
	Passing Game Metrics	
41.3%	Success Rate	39.5%
4.0%	Explosive Rate	7.7%
6.5	YPP	6.2
0.144	EPA	0.275

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

NC STATE AT VIRGINIA

GOOD

BAD

Home Virginia	Off v Def	Away NC State
20.7	PPG	22
32.1%	Success Rate	39.2%
6.8%	Explosive Play Rate	9.5%
5.2	YPP	5.9
0.137	EPA	0.181

Rushing Game Metrics

29.3%	Success Rate	41.3%
1.6%	Explosive Rate	3.8%
3.3	YPP	5.7
0.031	EPA	0.187

Passing Game Metrics

34.7%	Success Rate	37.3%
5.3%	Explosive Rate	5.7%
7.0	YPP	6.1
0.236	EPA	0.175



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away NC State	Off v Def	Home Virginia
31	PPG	42.3
49.8%	Success Rate	50.7%
8.2%	Explosive Play Rate	12.0%
5.6	YPP	6.5
0.239	EPA	0.398

Rushing Game Metrics

55.9%	Success Rate	53.6%
4.6%	Explosive Rate	7.2%
4.9	YPP	5.6
0.197	EPA	0.348

Passing Game Metrics

43.5%	Success Rate	47.4%
3.7%	Explosive Rate	4.8%
6.4	YPP	7.7
0.282	EPA	0.455

@DawgStats GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

ARIZONA AT STANFORD

GOOD

BAD

Home Stanford	Off v Def	Away Arizona
23.3	PPG	14.7
44.5%	Success Rate	34.9%
10.2%	Explosive Play Rate	8.4%
5.8	YPP	4.6
0.177	EPA	0.004

Rushing Game Metrics

45.6%	Success Rate	33.0%
4.7%	Explosive Rate	3.0%
5.5	YPP	3.2
0.165	EPA	-0.203

Passing Game Metrics

43.3%	Success Rate	37.3%
5.5%	Explosive Rate	5.4%
6.2	YPP	6.3
0.189	EPA	0.255



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Arizona	Off v Def	Home Stanford
31	PPG	36.7
56.1%	Success Rate	47.4%
16.4%	Explosive Play Rate	11.3%
8.1	YPP	7.0
0.408	EPA	0.358

Rushing Game Metrics

58.6%	Success Rate	46.2%
8.2%	Explosive Rate	5.3%
7.3	YPP	6.0
0.342	EPA	0.233

Passing Game Metrics

54.5%	Success Rate	47.9%
8.2%	Explosive Rate	6.0%
8.8	YPP	7.4
0.454	EPA	0.410

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

ARKANSAS AT LSU

GOOD

Home LSU	Off v Def	Away Arkansas
45.7	PPG	19
53.4%	Success Rate	33.3%
17.2%	Explosive Play Rate	8.5%
7.6	YPP	4.8
0.424	EPA	0.023

Rushing Game Metrics

57.4%	Success Rate	28.9%
9.5%	Explosive Rate	2.3%
6.4	YPP	3.6
0.377	EPA	-0.034

Passing Game Metrics

49.6%	Success Rate	37.2%
7.7%	Explosive Rate	6.2%
8.7	YPP	5.8
0.468	EPA	0.073

BAD

Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

GOOD

Away Arkansas	Off v Def	Home LSU
38.3	PPG	23
45.1%	Success Rate	36.8%
10.3%	Explosive Play Rate	14.0%
6.1	YPP	6.2
0.348	EPA	0.231

Rushing Game Metrics

46.8%	Success Rate	37.3%
5.1%	Explosive Rate	8.2%
5.0	YPP	6.1
0.244	EPA	0.211

Passing Game Metrics

43.0%	Success Rate	36.5%
5.1%	Explosive Rate	5.8%
7.5	YPP	6.3
0.480	EPA	0.247

BAD

@DawgStats

AUBURN AT TEXAS A&M

GOOD

Home Texas A&M	Off v Def	Away Auburn
44	PPG	12.3
48.6%	Success Rate	33.0%
12.4%	Explosive Play Rate	8.0%
6.8	YPP	4.6
0.372	EPA	-0.038

Rushing Game Metrics

48.3%	Success Rate	37.2%
5.5%	Explosive Rate	5.3%
5.4	YPP	4.5
0.211	EPA	0.100

Passing Game Metrics

48.8%	Success Rate	29.4%
6.9%	Explosive Rate	2.7%
7.8	YPP	4.6
0.484	EPA	-0.153

BAD

Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Auburn	Off v Def	Home Texas A&M
39.3	PPG	20.3
55.2%	Success Rate	33.5%
14.1%	Explosive Play Rate	12.4%
6.4	YPP	5.8
0.337	EPA	0.197

Rushing Game Metrics

61.3%	Success Rate	30.9%
7.8%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
5.9	YPP	4.0
0.367	EPA	-0.043

Passing Game Metrics

46.9%	Success Rate	36.3%
6.3%	Explosive Rate	8.1%
7.1	YPP	7.6
0.296	EPA	0.436

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

BOSTON COLLEGE AT LOUISVILLE

GOOD

BAD

Home Louisville	Off v Def	Away Boston College
38.7	PPG	28.7
54.8%	Success Rate	48.8%
13.4%	Explosive Play Rate	15.5%
8.0	YPP	6.5
0.430	EPA	0.319

Rushing Game Metrics

62.0%	Success Rate	49.1%
6.5%	Explosive Rate	9.5%
6.8	YPP	5.4
0.299	EPA	0.201

Passing Game Metrics

46.5%	Success Rate	48.3%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	6.0%
9.3	YPP	8.4
0.582	EPA	0.531



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Boston College	Off v Def	Home Louisville
28	PPG	16
42.5%	Success Rate	38.8%
9.3%	Explosive Play Rate	11.5%
5.6	YPP	5.6
0.252	EPA	0.125

Rushing Game Metrics

46.7%	Success Rate	39.8%
4.7%	Explosive Rate	4.4%
5.0	YPP	3.8
0.301	EPA	-0.023

Passing Game Metrics

37.5%	Success Rate	37.8%
4.7%	Explosive Rate	7.1%
6.3	YPP	7.4
0.192	EPA	0.277

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

BYU AT KANSAS

GOOD

Home Kansas	Off v Def	Away BYU
37.7	PPG	15.7
56.3%	Success Rate	35.8%
15.2%	Explosive Play Rate	9.5%
7.8	YPP	5.4
0.453	EPA	0.118
	Rushing Game Metrics	
52.3%	Success Rate	31.3%
9.1%	Explosive Rate	2.8%
6.6	YPP	4.3
0.297	EPA	0.038
	Passing Game Metrics	
61.4%	Success Rate	39.4%
6.1%	Explosive Rate	6.7%
9.3	YPP	6.2
0.646	EPA	0.182

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



GOOD

Away BYU	Off v Def	Home Kansas
31	PPG	21.3
34.7%	Success Rate	42.8%
7.8%	Explosive Play Rate	10.7%
5.8	YPP	5.7
0.192	EPA	0.224
	Rushing Game Metrics	
28.4%	Success Rate	42.4%
2.4%	Explosive Rate	6.3%
3.9	YPP	5.6
0.055	EPA	0.312
	Passing Game Metrics	
39.8%	Success Rate	43.2%
5.4%	Explosive Rate	4.4%
7.3	YPP	5.7
0.300	EPA	0.124

BAD

@DawgStats

CALIFORNIA AT WASHINGTON

GOOD

BAD

Home Washington	Off v Def	Away California
46.7	PPG	17.3
58.5%	Success Rate	39.1%
19.7%	Explosive Play Rate	5.3%
9.5	YPP	4.8
0.516	EPA	0.098

Rushing Game Metrics

54.8%	Success Rate	38.0%
6.2%	Explosive Rate	3.0%
5.8	YPP	4.2
0.267	EPA	0.059

Passing Game Metrics

60.8%	Success Rate	40.0%
13.5%	Explosive Rate	2.4%
11.9	YPP	5.3
0.663	EPA	0.133



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away California	Off v Def	Home Washington
33	PPG	12
41.7%	Success Rate	36.6%
10.0%	Explosive Play Rate	5.4%
5.8	YPP	4.9
0.236	EPA	0.034

Rushing Game Metrics

46.9%	Success Rate	43.7%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	1.5%
6.3	YPP	4.4
0.336	EPA	0.113

Passing Game Metrics

35.3%	Success Rate	31.4%
3.0%	Explosive Rate	3.9%
5.3	YPP	5.2
0.111	EPA	-0.024

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

COLORADO AT OREGON

GOOD

BAD

Home Oregon	Off v Def	Away Colorado
58	PPG	30.3
57.7%	Success Rate	44.8%
15.4%	Explosive Play Rate	9.0%
8.5	YPP	6.5
0.551	EPA	0.214

Rushing Game Metrics

59.1%	Success Rate	43.1%
7.2%	Explosive Rate	5.0%
7.4	YPP	5.4
0.524	EPA	0.162

Passing Game Metrics

56.5%	Success Rate	46.4%
8.2%	Explosive Rate	4.1%
9.4	YPP	7.5
0.573	EPA	0.264



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Colorado	Off v Def	Home Oregon
41.3	PPG	15.7
46.7%	Success Rate	33.3%
10.2%	Explosive Play Rate	8.5%
6.5	YPP	4.5
0.320	EPA	0.035

Rushing Game Metrics

37.8%	Success Rate	36.6%
2.2%	Explosive Rate	5.8%
4.4	YPP	5.4
0.052	EPA	0.118

Passing Game Metrics

51.0%	Success Rate	30.8%
8.0%	Explosive Rate	2.6%
7.6	YPP	3.9
0.449	EPA	-0.028

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

FLORIDA STATE AT CLEMSON

GOOD

BAD

Home Clemson	Off v Def	Away Florida State
40.3	PPG	22
55.2%	Success Rate	36.3%
12.6%	Explosive Play Rate	12.9%
6.8	YPP	6.1
0.335	EPA	0.128

Rushing Game Metrics

58.3%	Success Rate	37.5%
8.7%	Explosive Rate	5.5%
6.8	YPP	4.8
0.349	EPA	0.154

Passing Game Metrics

52.5%	Success Rate	35.4%
3.9%	Explosive Rate	7.5%
6.8	YPP	7.1
0.322	EPA	0.107



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Florida State	Off v Def	Home Clemson
47.3	PPG	19.7
45.8%	Success Rate	34.2%
17.9%	Explosive Play Rate	6.3%
7.7	YPP	4.4
0.396	EPA	0.067

Rushing Game Metrics

42.6%	Success Rate	33.0%
9.5%	Explosive Rate	4.2%
6.1	YPP	4.6
0.233	EPA	0.069

Passing Game Metrics

49.4%	Success Rate	35.4%
8.4%	Explosive Rate	2.1%
9.4	YPP	4.2
0.582	EPA	0.064

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

GEORGIA TECH AT WAKE FOREST

GOOD

BAD

Home Wake Forest	Off v Def	Away Georgia Tech
33.3	PPG	33.3
45.8%	Success Rate	45.2%
11.6%	Explosive Play Rate	9.7%
6.8	YPP	6.1
0.175	EPA	0.243

Rushing Game Metrics

45.9%	Success Rate	45.9%
5.6%	Explosive Rate	4.8%
5.2	YPP	5.7
0.066	EPA	0.106

Passing Game Metrics

45.7%	Success Rate	44.0%
6.0%	Explosive Rate	4.8%
8.5	YPP	6.8
0.290	EPA	0.445



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Georgia Tech	Off v Def	Home Wake Forest
35	PPG	20.3
48.9%	Success Rate	36.5%
18.3%	Explosive Play Rate	9.4%
7.9	YPP	5.9
0.415	EPA	0.096

Rushing Game Metrics

50.8%	Success Rate	37.5%
9.2%	Explosive Rate	4.4%
6.6	YPP	5.3
0.346	EPA	0.056

Passing Game Metrics

47.0%	Success Rate	35.8%
9.2%	Explosive Rate	5.0%
9.2	YPP	6.3
0.483	EPA	0.122

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

KENTUCKY AT VANDERBILT

GOOD

Home Vanderbilt	Off v Def	Away Kentucky
34.8	PPG	11.3
43.1%	Success Rate	36.7%
11.7%	Explosive Play Rate	6.7%
6.3	YPP	4.3
0.195	EPA	0.012

Rushing Game Metrics

44.0%	Success Rate	32.5%
3.6%	Explosive Rate	2.2%
4.2	YPP	3.5
0.009	EPA	-0.103

Passing Game Metrics

42.4%	Success Rate	40.0%
8.1%	Explosive Rate	4.4%
7.9	YPP	4.9
0.340	EPA	0.104

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Kentucky	Off v Def	Home Vanderbilt
35.7	PPG	29.3
42.1%	Success Rate	40.9%
16.6%	Explosive Play Rate	9.1%
6.9	YPP	5.9
0.312	EPA	0.226

Rushing Game Metrics

44.4%	Success Rate	41.2%
6.9%	Explosive Rate	4.7%
6.0	YPP	5.4
0.260	EPA	0.216

Passing Game Metrics

40.7%	Success Rate	40.7%
9.7%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
7.4	YPP	6.4
0.342	EPA	0.235

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

MINNESOTA AT NORTHWESTERN

GOOD

Home Northwestern	Off v Def	Away Minnesota
19.7	PPG	15.7
46.3%	Success Rate	42.2%
5.3%	Explosive Play Rate	8.1%
4.6	YPP	5.7
0.102	EPA	0.119

Rushing Game Metrics

55.0%	Success Rate	44.2%
2.7%	Explosive Rate	4.6%
4.5	YPP	4.8
0.158	EPA	0.135

Passing Game Metrics

39.8%	Success Rate	40.2%
2.7%	Explosive Rate	3.5%
4.8	YPP	6.5
0.060	EPA	0.102

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

GOOD

Away Minnesota	Off v Def	Home Northwestern
17	PPG	23
40.9%	Success Rate	44.1%
9.6%	Explosive Play Rate	12.4%
4.8	YPP	5.6
0.061	EPA	0.220

Rushing Game Metrics

47.6%	Success Rate	43.0%
6.1%	Explosive Rate	8.1%
5.1	YPP	4.8
0.205	EPA	0.103

Passing Game Metrics

33.3%	Success Rate	45.6%
3.5%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
4.5	YPP	6.7
-0.101	EPA	0.378

BAD

@DawgStats

MISSISSIPPI STATE AT SOUTH CAROLINA

GOOD

BAD

Home South Carolina	Off v Def	Away Mississippi State
26	PPG	24
42.8%	Success Rate	47.6%
11.4%	Explosive Play Rate	10.8%
6.3	YPP	6.2
0.311	EPA	0.278

Rushing Game Metrics

40.8%	Success Rate	44.9%
2.5%	Explosive Rate	4.9%
3.6	YPP	4.7
0.130	EPA	0.086

Passing Game Metrics

43.8%	Success Rate	49.5%
9.0%	Explosive Rate	5.9%
7.8	YPP	7.2
0.404	EPA	0.418



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Mississippi State	Off v Def	Home South Carolina
31	PPG	25.3
38.7%	Success Rate	49.0%
9.2%	Explosive Play Rate	8.6%
5.4	YPP	5.8
0.156	EPA	0.277

Rushing Game Metrics

43.0%	Success Rate	46.7%
6.1%	Explosive Rate	4.8%
6.0	YPP	4.2
0.205	EPA	0.196

Passing Game Metrics

33.8%	Success Rate	51.4%
3.1%	Explosive Rate	3.8%
4.8	YPP	7.3
0.101	EPA	0.355

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

NORTH CAROLINA AT PITTSBURGH

GOOD

BAD

Home Pittsburgh	Off v Def	Away North Carolina
24	PPG	21.3
40.1%	Success Rate	38.7%
10.7%	Explosive Play Rate	11.8%
5.3	YPP	5.4
0.141	EPA	0.136
Rushing Game Metrics		
45.5%	Success Rate	41.9%
4.8%	Explosive Rate	5.2%
4.7	YPP	4.6
0.162	EPA	0.284
Passing Game Metrics		
34.1%	Success Rate	36.1%
5.9%	Explosive Rate	6.6%
6.0	YPP	6.0
0.117	EPA	0.018



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away North Carolina	Off v Def	Home Pittsburgh
34	PPG	17
50.5%	Success Rate	33.3%
9.3%	Explosive Play Rate	7.5%
6.9	YPP	4.6
0.394	EPA	0.073
Rushing Game Metrics		
50.5%	Success Rate	33.0%
5.1%	Explosive Rate	5.0%
5.2	YPP	4.6
0.333	EPA	0.055
Passing Game Metrics		
50.5%	Success Rate	33.9%
4.2%	Explosive Rate	2.5%
8.5	YPP	4.6
0.452	EPA	0.101

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2022 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

OKLAHOMA AT CINCINNATI

GOOD

BAD

Home Cincinnati	Off v Def	Away Oklahoma
39	PPG	9.3
47.0%	Success Rate	33.5%
13.9%	Explosive Play Rate	9.6%
7.0	YPP	4.8
0.351	EPA	0.009

Rushing Game Metrics

44.2%	Success Rate	25.8%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	2.1%
5.6	YPP	2.5
0.190	EPA	-0.229

Passing Game Metrics

51.1%	Success Rate	41.1%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	7.4%
9.0	YPP	7.0
0.591	EPA	0.241



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Oklahoma	Off v Def	Home Cincinnati
55.7	PPG	21.7
55.5%	Success Rate	34.4%
12.9%	Explosive Play Rate	11.7%
7.5	YPP	5.6
0.474	EPA	0.177

Rushing Game Metrics

47.8%	Success Rate	35.9%
3.8%	Explosive Rate	6.1%
4.5	YPP	5.2
0.194	EPA	0.116

Passing Game Metrics

64.9%	Success Rate	32.9%
9.1%	Explosive Rate	5.5%
11.1	YPP	5.9
0.818	EPA	0.232

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

OKLAHOMA STATE AT IOWA STATE

GOOD

Home Iowa State	Off v Def	Away Oklahoma State
16.7	PPG	20.3
35.8%	Success Rate	39.2%
3.1%	Explosive Play Rate	9.9%
4.9	YPP	6.0
0.114	EPA	0.260

Rushing Game Metrics

31.6%	Success Rate	40.6%
1.2%	Explosive Rate	6.6%
3.2	YPP	5.6
-0.089	EPA	0.186

Passing Game Metrics

39.5%	Success Rate	37.6%
1.9%	Explosive Rate	3.3%
6.4	YPP	6.4
0.294	EPA	0.343

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

GOOD

Away Oklahoma State	Off v Def	Home Iowa State
20.3	PPG	13
41.0%	Success Rate	35.4%
7.5%	Explosive Play Rate	5.3%
4.9	YPP	4.2
0.133	EPA	-0.049

Rushing Game Metrics

49.4%	Success Rate	36.5%
4.5%	Explosive Rate	2.1%
5.4	YPP	4.0
0.219	EPA	-0.063

Passing Game Metrics

35.8%	Success Rate	34.4%
3.0%	Explosive Rate	3.2%
4.7	YPP	4.4
0.080	EPA	-0.035

BAD

@DawgStats 1942·1980·2021·2023 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

OLE MISS AT ALABAMA

GOOD

BAD

Home Alabama	Off v Def	Away Ole Miss
32.3	PPG	16.7
45.0%	Success Rate	34.5%
13.8%	Explosive Play Rate	5.4%
6.1	YPP	4.2
0.253	EPA	-0.007

Rushing Game Metrics

49.5%	Success Rate	33.3%
7.9%	Explosive Rate	2.0%
5.8	YPP	4.1
0.292	EPA	-0.035

Passing Game Metrics

39.5%	Success Rate	35.6%
5.8%	Explosive Rate	3.4%
6.5	YPP	4.3
0.206	EPA	0.021



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Ole Miss	Off v Def	Home Alabama
52.7	PPG	14.7
50.4%	Success Rate	32.5%
18.5%	Explosive Play Rate	6.7%
7.7	YPP	4.4
0.492	EPA	0.064

Rushing Game Metrics

45.2%	Success Rate	35.0%
3.0%	Explosive Rate	3.3%
4.2	YPP	3.9
0.227	EPA	0.062

Passing Game Metrics

54.8%	Success Rate	30.2%
15.6%	Explosive Rate	3.3%
10.7	YPP	4.9
0.718	EPA	0.065

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

OREGON STATE AT WASHINGTON STATE

GOOD

BAD

Home Washington State	Off v Def	Away Oregon State
48.3	PPG	11
51.6%	Success Rate	36.9%
14.9%	Explosive Play Rate	6.4%
7.2	YPP	4.0
0.393	EPA	-0.070

Rushing Game Metrics

47.9%	Success Rate	38.0%
4.5%	Explosive Rate	2.1%
4.5	YPP	3.3
0.239	EPA	-0.012

Passing Game Metrics

54.3%	Success Rate	36.2%
10.4%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
9.3	YPP	4.4
0.508	EPA	-0.106



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Oregon State	Off v Def	Home Washington State
41	PPG	22.3
55.6%	Success Rate	37.1%
13.9%	Explosive Play Rate	5.6%
7.6	YPP	5.5
0.435	EPA	0.154

Rushing Game Metrics

62.4%	Success Rate	34.8%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	1.7%
6.7	YPP	4.0
0.381	EPA	0.002

Passing Game Metrics

47.7%	Success Rate	38.4%
7.0%	Explosive Rate	3.9%
8.7	YPP	6.4
0.498	EPA	0.243

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

TEXAS AT BAYLOR

GOOD

BAD

Home Baylor	Off v Def	Away Texas
24.7	PPG	14.7
41.0%	Success Rate	32.3%
11.7%	Explosive Play Rate	7.1%
6.0	YPP	4.9
0.256	EPA	0.071

Rushing Game Metrics

43.3%	Success Rate	30.8%
5.4%	Explosive Rate	2.6%
4.8	YPP	4.1
0.228	EPA	-0.078

Passing Game Metrics

38.6%	Success Rate	33.8%
6.3%	Explosive Rate	4.5%
7.2	YPP	5.7
0.284	EPA	0.223



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Texas	Off v Def	Home Baylor
34	PPG	23
38.2%	Success Rate	41.0%
9.6%	Explosive Play Rate	10.7%
5.9	YPP	5.8
0.215	EPA	0.222

Rushing Game Metrics

37.1%	Success Rate	42.7%
3.4%	Explosive Rate	5.6%
4.3	YPP	5.6
0.072	EPA	0.266

Passing Game Metrics

39.3%	Success Rate	38.7%
6.2%	Explosive Rate	5.1%
7.6	YPP	6.2
0.358	EPA	0.160

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

TEXAS TECH AT WEST VIRGINIA

GOOD

BAD

Home West Virginia	Off v Def	Away Texas Tech
29.3	PPG	25.3
43.4%	Success Rate	40.0%
11.2%	Explosive Play Rate	8.9%
5.8	YPP	5.0
0.232	EPA	0.137

Rushing Game Metrics

46.4%	Success Rate	37.0%
7.2%	Explosive Rate	4.9%
5.1	YPP	4.5
0.303	EPA	0.102

Passing Game Metrics

38.2%	Success Rate	42.4%
3.9%	Explosive Rate	4.0%
7.2	YPP	5.4
0.106	EPA	0.166



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Texas Tech	Off v Def	Home West Virginia
34.7	PPG	20.3
44.7%	Success Rate	32.1%
9.6%	Explosive Play Rate	10.2%
5.9	YPP	5.2
0.230	EPA	0.026

Rushing Game Metrics

47.8%	Success Rate	33.8%
4.1%	Explosive Rate	2.2%
5.9	YPP	2.8
0.279	EPA	-0.196

Passing Game Metrics

42.5%	Success Rate	30.6%
5.5%	Explosive Rate	8.0%
5.9	YPP	7.3
0.195	EPA	0.226

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

UAB AT GEORGIA

GOOD

Home Georgia	Off v Def	Away UAB
39	PPG	32
51.7%	Success Rate	50.6%
13.4%	Explosive Play Rate	10.8%
7.0	YPP	6.7
0.326	EPA	0.307
	Rushing Game Metrics	
48.5%	Success Rate	55.6%
5.7%	Explosive Rate	6.3%
4.7	YPP	7.2
0.230	EPA	0.422
	Passing Game Metrics	
54.5%	Success Rate	45.3%
7.7%	Explosive Rate	4.5%
9.1	YPP	6.1
0.413	EPA	0.187

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away UAB	Off v Def	Home Georgia
30.3	PPG	8
54.3%	Success Rate	32.6%
9.1%	Explosive Play Rate	4.9%
7.1	YPP	4.5
0.344	EPA	-0.035
	Rushing Game Metrics	
48.8%	Success Rate	32.4%
4.6%	Explosive Rate	1.6%
6.3	YPP	4.1
0.295	EPA	-0.039
	Passing Game Metrics	
58.3%	Success Rate	32.8%
4.6%	Explosive Rate	3.3%
7.6	YPP	4.7
0.373	EPA	-0.033

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2023 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

UCF AT KANSAS STATE

GOOD

Home Kansas State	Off v Def	Away UCF
38	PPG	12
47.7%	Success Rate	33.5%
12.6%	Explosive Play Rate	10.6%
6.3	YPP	4.5
0.264	EPA	0.020
Rushing Game Metrics		
46.8%	Success Rate	36.5%
6.1%	Explosive Rate	6.4%
5.0	YPP	4.0
0.147	EPA	-0.049
Passing Game Metrics		
48.6%	Success Rate	30.4%
6.5%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
7.8	YPP	5.0
0.386	EPA	0.092

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

GOOD

Home Kansas State	Off v Def	Away UCF
38	PPG	12
47.7%	Success Rate	33.5%
12.6%	Explosive Play Rate	10.6%
6.3	YPP	4.5
0.264	EPA	0.020
Rushing Game Metrics		
46.8%	Success Rate	36.5%
6.1%	Explosive Rate	6.4%
5.0	YPP	4.0
0.147	EPA	-0.049
Passing Game Metrics		
48.6%	Success Rate	30.4%
6.5%	Explosive Rate	4.3%
7.8	YPP	5.0
0.386	EPA	0.092

BAD

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2023 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

UCLA AT UTAH

GOOD

Home Utah	Off v Def	Away UCLA
25	PPG	10
40.7%	Success Rate	38.9%
8.5%	Explosive Play Rate	3.9%
5.3	YPP	4.4
0.152	EPA	0.046
	Rushing Game Metrics	
42.4%	Success Rate	36.7%
6.0%	Explosive Rate	1.0%
4.5	YPP	3.4
0.144	EPA	-0.067
	Passing Game Metrics	
37.8%	Success Rate	40.7%
2.5%	Explosive Rate	3.0%
6.7	YPP	5.2
0.164	EPA	0.136

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away UCLA	Off v Def	Home Utah
40.3	PPG	10.3
53.5%	Success Rate	31.1%
17.1%	Explosive Play Rate	7.3%
9.3	YPP	5.0
0.464	EPA	0.062
	Rushing Game Metrics	
56.4%	Success Rate	33.9%
9.4%	Explosive Rate	2.4%
8.4	YPP	4.0
0.481	EPA	0.042
	Passing Game Metrics	
50.0%	Success Rate	29.4%
7.6%	Explosive Rate	4.9%
10.3	YPP	5.6
0.442	EPA	0.073

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2023 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

USC AT ARIZONA STATE

GOOD

Home Arizona State	Off v Def	Away USC
13	PPG	17.3
36.0%	Success Rate	36.0%
9.1%	Explosive Play Rate	8.6%
4.9	YPP	5.4
0.096	EPA	0.091
Rushing Game Metrics		
40.2%	Success Rate	38.7%
3.8%	Explosive Rate	4.1%
3.8	YPP	5.1
0.060	EPA	0.146
Passing Game Metrics		
32.3%	Success Rate	33.7%
5.4%	Explosive Rate	4.6%
5.8	YPP	5.7
0.128	EPA	0.043

BAD

Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

GOOD

Away USC	Off v Def	Home Arizona State
59.3	PPG	25.7
57.5%	Success Rate	38.5%
18.3%	Explosive Play Rate	4.8%
9.5	YPP	4.8
0.629	EPA	0.103
Rushing Game Metrics		
55.7%	Success Rate	35.7%
8.6%	Explosive Rate	3.2%
7.6	YPP	4.4
0.490	EPA	0.087
Passing Game Metrics		
58.9%	Success Rate	40.8%
9.7%	Explosive Rate	1.6%
10.9	YPP	5.1
0.733	EPA	0.117

BAD

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2022 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

UT SAN ANTONIO AT TENNESSEE

GOOD

Home Tennessee	Off v Def	Away UT San Antonio
31.7	PPG	22.3
47.9%	Success Rate	40.3%
12.3%	Explosive Play Rate	9.0%
6.4	YPP	5.0
0.294	EPA	0.100
Rushing Game Metrics		
55.0%	Success Rate	38.7%
8.5%	Explosive Rate	3.5%
6.1	YPP	3.8
0.315	EPA	0.011
Passing Game Metrics		
40.2%	Success Rate	42.2%
3.8%	Explosive Rate	5.5%
6.8	YPP	6.6
0.269	EPA	0.210

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



GOOD

Away UT San Antonio	Off v Def	Home Tennessee
21	PPG	18.3
40.9%	Success Rate	30.7%
12.3%	Explosive Play Rate	6.8%
5.4	YPP	4.6
0.193	EPA	0.072
Rushing Game Metrics		
41.2%	Success Rate	29.2%
7.9%	Explosive Rate	4.9%
5.2	YPP	4.4
0.221	EPA	0.030
Passing Game Metrics		
40.6%	Success Rate	32.3%
4.4%	Explosive Rate	2.0%
5.6	YPP	4.7
0.168	EPA	0.117

BAD

@DawgStats

RUTGERS AT MICHIGAN

GOOD

Home Michigan	Off v Def	Away Rutgers
32	PPG	10
50.0%	Success Rate	41.4%
12.0%	Explosive Play Rate	5.2%
7.4	YPP	4.1
0.328	EPA	0.030
Rushing Game Metrics		
46.2%	Success Rate	43.8%
5.4%	Explosive Rate	2.6%
5.5	YPP	3.7
0.140	EPA	0.009
Passing Game Metrics		
54.8%	Success Rate	39.8%
6.6%	Explosive Rate	2.6%
9.9	YPP	4.3
0.563	EPA	0.043

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Rutgers	Off v Def	Home Michigan
31.7	PPG	5.3
42.2%	Success Rate	33.3%
10.7%	Explosive Play Rate	7.1%
5.5	YPP	4.1
0.222	EPA	-0.042
Rushing Game Metrics		
45.5%	Success Rate	31.0%
8.0%	Explosive Rate	4.8%
5.3	YPP	3.4
0.227	EPA	-0.200
Passing Game Metrics		
36.4%	Success Rate	35.7%
2.7%	Explosive Rate	2.4%
5.9	YPP	4.7
0.215	EPA	0.115

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats 1942•1980•2021•2022 GO DAWGS!

DAWGS CENTRAL

@DawgStats

DUKE AT CONNECTICUT

GOOD

BAD

Home Connecticut	Off v Def	Away Duke
15	PPG	9.3
34.8%	Success Rate	43.9%
7.0%	Explosive Play Rate	4.5%
4.5	YPP	4.5
0.075	EPA	0.048
	Rushing Game Metrics	
35.9%	Success Rate	48.4%
4.3%	Explosive Rate	3.5%
4.4	YPP	5.0
0.064	EPA	0.168
	Passing Game Metrics	
33.7%	Success Rate	40.0%
2.7%	Explosive Rate	1.0%
4.6	YPP	4.1
0.085	EPA	-0.057

Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Duke	Off v Def	Home Connecticut
36	PPG	27.7
53.0%	Success Rate	47.7%
15.1%	Explosive Play Rate	7.8%
7.2	YPP	5.8
0.399	EPA	0.246
	Rushing Game Metrics	
52.3%	Success Rate	48.2%
10.3%	Explosive Rate	5.2%
6.6	YPP	5.1
0.362	EPA	0.205
	Passing Game Metrics	
53.9%	Success Rate	47.0%
4.9%	Explosive Rate	2.6%
8.1	YPP	6.7
0.452	EPA	0.300

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

MARYLAND AT MICHIGAN STATE

GOOD

BAD

Home Michigan State	Off v Def	Away Maryland
27.7	PPG	13.3
41.8%	Success Rate	38.6%
10.4%	Explosive Play Rate	6.0%
6.2	YPP	5.1
0.195	EPA	0.075

Rushing Game Metrics

41.1%	Success Rate	43.0%
3.8%	Explosive Rate	2.7%
4.3	YPP	4.8
0.034	EPA	0.177

Passing Game Metrics

42.4%	Success Rate	34.7%
6.6%	Explosive Rate	3.3%
8.0	YPP	5.4
0.351	EPA	-0.014



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distanc
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/
field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effecien



Away Maryland	Off v Def	Home Michigan State
39.3	PPG	20.7
49.8%	Success Rate	42.0%
11.8%	Explosive Play Rate	10.5%
7.0	YPP	5.9
0.381	EPA	0.109

Rushing Game Metrics

51.0%	Success Rate	39.8%
5.9%	Explosive Rate	5.5%
5.9	YPP	4.4
0.428	EPA	-0.009

Passing Game Metrics

48.6%	Success Rate	44.1%
5.9%	Explosive Rate	5.0%
8.0	YPP	7.4
0.339	EPA	0.220

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

IOWA AT PENN STATE

GOOD

Home Penn State	Off v Def	Away Iowa
43.7	PPG	12.3
48.7%	Success Rate	35.2%
7.3%	Explosive Play Rate	4.5%
5.8	YPP	4.4
0.285	EPA	0.058

Rushing Game Metrics

49.5%	Success Rate	39.5%
5.8%	Explosive Rate	2.5%
5.0	YPP	4.0
0.254	EPA	-0.026

Passing Game Metrics

47.7%	Success Rate	31.9%
1.6%	Explosive Rate	2.0%
6.9	YPP	4.6
0.323	EPA	0.121

BAD



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency



Away Iowa	Off v Def	Home Penn State
28.3	PPG	11.7
37.6%	Success Rate	28.5%
9.9%	Explosive Play Rate	5.4%
5.0	YPP	4.3
0.081	EPA	0.014

Rushing Game Metrics

39.6%	Success Rate	30.9%
5.5%	Explosive Rate	2.3%
5.0	YPP	4.6
0.042	EPA	0.124

Passing Game Metrics

35.0%	Success Rate	26.7%
4.4%	Explosive Rate	3.1%
4.9	YPP	4.0
0.130	EPA	-0.067

GOOD

BAD

@DawgStats

OHIO STATE AT NOTRE DAME

GOOD

BAD

Home Notre Dame	Off v Def	Away Ohio State
46	PPG	6.7
54.3%	Success Rate	33.0%
15.1%	Explosive Play Rate	4.3%
8.5	YPP	4.1
0.539	EPA	-0.020
Rushing Game Metrics		
55.6%	Success Rate	27.7%
6.0%	Explosive Rate	2.2%
6.4	YPP	3.5
0.325	EPA	-0.036
Passing Game Metrics		
52.8%	Success Rate	38.5%
9.1%	Explosive Rate	2.2%
11.0	YPP	4.6
0.793	EPA	-0.003



Success Rates based on yards gained by down/distance.
EPA value based on yards gained on down/distance/ field position.
UP and RIGHT - Higer Explosiveness and Higher Effeciency

Away Ohio State	Off v Def	Home Notre Dame
40.3	PPG	11.8
49.7%	Success Rate	31.7%
14.1%	Explosive Play Rate	6.7%
7.8	YPP	4.0
0.428	EPA	0.027
Rushing Game Metrics		
47.8%	Success Rate	35.7%
5.8%	Explosive Rate	2.5%
5.9	YPP	3.6
0.311	EPA	0.053
Passing Game Metrics		
51.5%	Success Rate	26.0%
8.4%	Explosive Rate	4.2%
9.6	YPP	4.6
0.538	EPA	-0.009

GOOD

BAD